

PREPARING FOR GOVERNMENT AUDITS: ATYPICAL ANTIPSYCHOTIC MEDICATIONS



INTRODUCTION

Most people would agree that the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) are justified in seeking to ensure that the long-term and acute care services they pay for are appropriate and meet federal standards. After all, ours is a rapidly aging population that is increasingly reliant on the Medicare/Medicaid system. From a purely budgetary standpoint, it makes perfect sense to put checks and balances in place to make sure the dollars are spent where they should be.

In 2010, CMS launched its Medicare Recovery Audit Contractors program (RAC) to help do exactly that—primarily, to review care provider billings to identify and recover overpayments and, to a lesser extent, identify and reimburse for underpayments. CMS reports that, in fiscal year 2014 alone, the program had recouped \$2.39 billion.

In the healthcare industry, new focus areas are being identified by the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) and CMS on a regular basis, and these same focus areas can easily become the subject of investigation by the Department of Justice. Among the areas of focus most on the radar of our long-term care clients are atypical antipsychotic medications, hospice services, and physical therapy services. In this three-part series of whitepapers, we'll take a look at each of these issues—examining how they came to be focus areas, what your organization needs to do to be in compliance with CMS standards, and what you can do now to prepare for, or respond to, audits.

ATYPICAL ANTIPSYCHOTIC DRUGS: WHY NOW?

Prescription drugs are a fact of life in the long-term and acute care settings. Of all the drug categories to focus upon, why were atypical antipsychotics singled out? For starters, this category of drug is used in the long-term care setting with great frequency. For instance, in 2006, 3 of the top 10 drugs paid for by Medicare Part D were atypical antipsychotics.



WHAT ARE ATYPICAL ANTIPSYCHOTIC DRUGS?

Currently, the FDA has approved 8 atypical antipsychotic drugs for treatment of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. The drugs include Aripiprazole, Clozapine, Olansapine, Olanzapine/Fluoxetine, Paliperidone, Quetiapine, Risperidone and Ziprasidone. The drugs are also commonly used off-label for the treatment of agitation in dementia, depression, obsessive-compulsive disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder, personality disorders, Tourette's syndrome and autism. Although these off-label uses are medically accepted, the FDA has nevertheless attached a boxed warning to the drugs that cautions of an increased risk of death when the drugs are used to treat behavioral disorders in elderly patients with dementia.

The Office of the Inspector General (OIG) launched an investigation into the use of these drugs at the request of Senator Charles Grassley, who sought to evaluate just how frequently they were being used in nursing homes and what the associated cost is to Medicare. The study also sought to evaluate how often these drugs were prescribed for residents suffering from dementia—a population susceptible to increased risk of death when using the drugs, according to the FDA's boxed warning on atypical antipsychotics.

MEDICARE REIMBURSEMENT CRITERIA 1: PROOF OF MEDICAL NECESSITY

Atypical antipsychotics account for 3 of the top 10 prescriptions paid by Medicare, and the drugs have both FDA-approved and off-label uses. But, does their prevalence and off-label use mean atypical antipsychotics should never be prescribed in the long-term care setting or will never qualify for reimbursement? Not necessarily. Atypical antipsychotics, like any other drug, are eligible for Medicare reimbursement if they meet CMS's criteria for use. CMS requires that long-term care residents' drug therapy regimens are free of unnecessary drugs, which includes:

- Those given in excessive doses
- Those given for excessive durations
- Those given without adequate monitoring
- Those not used for medically accepted indications
- Those given in the presence of adverse consequences that indicate the dosage should be reduced or discontinued

Off-label uses of drugs are not outside CMS's criteria, provided that the off-label use is supported by 1 of the 3 official reference compendia used by CMS. These compendia include the American Society of Health System Pharmacists, Inc.'s American Hospital Formulary Services Drug Information; United States Pharmacopeia—Drug Information; and Thomson Reuters' DrugDEX Information System.

MEDICARE REIMBURSEMENT CRITERIA 2: REQUIRED DOCUMENTATION

Provided that the use of the atypical antipsychotic drug is supported by the CMS's compendia, providers may proceed. However, they should keep in mind that reimbursement isn't dependent on a simple physician order in a medical record, a diagnosis code or acceptance in a reference compendium. It's far more rigorous than that—it's really all about documentation.

To be reimbursed for the cost of atypical antipsychotics, long-term care facilities must provide complete and consistent documentation describing the selection,

administration and follow-up care involved in the use of the drugs.

This documentation must include:

- A completed Resident Assessment Protocol (RAP) on admission, and regularly during their stay
- Evidence of consideration of the RAP for the use of atypical antipsychotics
- Development of a written care plan based on the assessments that outlines the services the resident needs
- Planned interventions for the use of the drug

OIG FINDINGS: DEEPER ISSUES EMERGE

The OIG investigation indeed revealed that the use of atypical antipsychotics is fairly widespread and accounts for significant payments by CMS. The major findings show that:

- **14%** of nursing home residents had claims for atypical antipsychotic drugs (totaling \$309 million)
- **83%** of atypical antipsychotic drug claims were associated with off-label uses, and 88% of these were associated with dementia, the condition specified in the boxed warning
- **51%** of claims were erroneous, meaning they were either not administered for medically accepted indications or were not documented as having been administered (totaling \$116 million)
- **22%** of the claimed drugs were not administered according to CMS standards regarding unnecessary drugs

But the investigation shines a glaring spotlight on an issue that is perhaps more significant for long-term care providers than the administration of the drugs themselves: widespread failure to properly document their selection and use. The study found that:

- **1/3** of the records reviewed contained no evidence that the residents were ever assessed to identify a medical need for the drug
- **18%** of the records contained no intervention plan for the use of the drugs
- **4%** showed no use of the RAP for the use of the drugs
- **99%** contained no documentation showing that a care plan was developed and/or instituted
- **48%** failed to meet 2 or more federal requirements for the use of the drugs

These findings carry two serious implications for providers. First, and particular to atypical antipsychotic drugs, this means a majority of the billings for the drugs may be considered erroneous, making CMS payments eligible for recoupment. The more systemic—and worrisome—problem is that, if documentation failures occurred at this rate for one issue, they’ve more than likely occurred in regard to most other regulatory issues as well. Widespread failure to properly document care is a vulnerability just waiting to become a catastrophic financial crisis for long-term care organizations.

CLAMPING DOWN: OIG RECOMMENDATIONS & IMPLICATIONS

To get long-term care facilities to comply with the standards for the use of atypical antipsychotics, OIG offered 4 recommendations to CMS:

- Facilitate access to information necessary to ensure accurate coverage and reimbursement determinations
- Assess whether survey and certification processes offer adequate safeguards against unnecessary atypical antipsychotic drug use in nursing homes
- Explore alternative methods beyond survey and certification processes to promote compliance with federal standards regarding unnecessary drug use in nursing homes
- Take appropriate action regarding the claims associated with erroneous payments identified

What should long-term care organizations take away from these recommendations? Essentially, expect more audits. Further, it’s likely that audits for this issue will tend to be “complex reviews” rather than simple, computer-automated checks. OIG notes that, “Without access to diagnosis information, CMS cannot determine the indications for which drugs were used. For this reason, CMS is unable, absent a medical review, to determine whether claims meet payment requirements.” Thus, it seems inevitable that complex medical reviews will be the norm for assessing compliance with CMS requirements in regard to atypical antipsychotics.



BECOME PROACTIVE WITH YOUR DOCUMENTATION

To be in compliance, your clinical documentation must meet strict criteria, every time. It’s true that auditors are looking at cases from at least 3 years past, and there is no realistic way to go back in time to ensure that proper documentation happened. So, the best option is to take a proactive approach: get a handle on what you’re doing (or not doing) now, and make sure it’s right going forward. The earlier you can get your own practices into alignment with compliance criteria, the better off you’ll be in the long run.

Start with an objective assessment of a sample of your records that provides a look at how well you’re doing overall with CMS requirements. Is all documentation regarding the use of atypical antipsychotic medications present and fully completed? Are care plans and evaluations consistently recorded?

If it sounds like a monumental task to assess a sample of your records, it doesn’t have to be. Excelas has solutions available to help you take an honest and objective assessment of what you’re doing. We dedicate time and human

resources to complete an analysis of your documentation practices, so your staff can remain focused on more immediate tasks. In the event that you are audited, we can also help you prepare records for release or help you respond to audit findings.



EXCELAS SOLUTIONS: PROACTIVE DOCUMENTATION REVIEWS

Like any compliance issue, auditors are looking for specific information in the medical record to support the use of atypical antipsychotics. Your clinical documentation is the critical foundation upon which the auditor's decision will be made.

Excelas will review a sample of your records and provide a summary report outlining whether the records contain all the specific documentation types and information required by CMS. Reviews can be customized to examine almost any criteria you specify, but a good

starting point includes some or all of the following:

- Types of CMS-Required Documentation, including:
 - RAP upon admission, and frequency thereafter
 - Documented consideration of the RAP in the prescription of atypical antipsychotics
 - Appropriate written care plan(s)
 - Appropriate planned interventions for use of the drug(s)
- Factors Impacting the Use of Atypical Antipsychotics, including:
 - Age
 - Co-morbid conditions
 - Psychiatric diagnoses and symptoms
 - Medications and dosages administered
 - Length of time on medications
 - Behavior monitoring documentation
 - Physician documentation of need
 - Documentation that lower dosages or other drugs were unsuccessful
 - Documentation of unique factors of individual patients
 - Documentation of regular assessments that support continuation of the drug

We'll provide insight into where your documentation is sufficient and where it is not meeting CMS requirements. Summary reports can be provided for individual facilities, across all facilities, or both. Like all of our work products, an internal audit for atypical antipsychotics can be customized to suit your needs—get the information you need in the way that works best for you. With actionable information at your fingertips, you'll be on the path to compliance in no time.

EXCELAS SOLUTIONS: RECORD RELEASE SERVICES

In the event that you are audited and faced with preparing dozens of records for release, your first instinct may be to gather and send them as quickly as possible. While it's true that deadlines are tight, releasing disorganized, incomplete or inaccurate medical records can shed a negative light on the facility and disrupt the efficient evaluation of records by auditors.

Save your team the stress of preparing records by using Excelas' record release services. We can organize the medical record, assess its completeness, notify you of any missing record types or gaps in documentation, and ensure all pages of the record belong to the correct person—we can even extract records from your EMR system. Within a matter of days, you can be sure that the records you release are orderly, complete and free of any “wrong patient” records.

Electronic Record Retrieval

Our medical record professionals will work remotely to extract all available portions of the record directly from your EMR system, as well as any supplemental systems you may use. We will efficiently locate and compile all the documentation needed to produce a complete medical record, saving you valuable time and resources. If your organization uses paper records in addition to your EMR, we can identify the portions of the record absent from the EMR system that are needed to compile the full record, and we can coordinate and track their retrieval.

Record Organization

Nothing can be more damaging to an audit than releasing incomplete records. Excelas' Record Organization service provides a responsive, incredibly fast overview of the medical record to ensure all key documentation types have been included. You will be alerted to any critical missing records before the chart leaves your facility. We will also organize your records so that they are chronological, indexed and easy to review—for the auditor, and for you.



Ensuring a Clean Record

Besides ensuring that you're releasing a complete record, our team can also ensure that the record is clean, free of any “wrong patient” records (e.g., records belonging to another patient/resident). You know the implications of releasing an incomplete record. But think about the additional implications of releasing records with another patient's information. Not only might that information contradict what is in your claims, but more importantly, it may raise HIPAA compliance and quality concerns.

Wrong-patient information is a common problem in records we see. Among our claims and litigation projects, 34% of records we receive for review include at least one page of “stranger” records. But when it comes to regulatory projects—the kind of projects that put a “rush to respond” burden on providers—the percentage of records released with wrong-patient information increases to nearly 43%, on average. The more records that need to be released, the higher the incidence of error becomes.

EXCELAS SOLUTIONS: RESPONDING TO ADVERSE DECISIONS

Sometimes, no matter how complete your records are, you might still receive an adverse decision or face regulatory allegations. If the best defense strategy requires an expert review, Excelas can help you keep costs under control and minimize the time expert reviews can take. Our medical analysts perform high-level data abstraction on the documents in question, providing your experts with an organized electronic record and data points already extracted for their review. Each data point is hyperlinked to the page of the record where the pertinent information was found. Your experts can spend their time efficiently assessing the medical situation and developing their opinion, instead of sorting through extraneous information and shuffling through hundreds or thousands of pages of records.

If your team needs to understand the particulars of each patient's situation to form a response to a regulatory action, Excelas can review the records in question, pull out critical information for each patient/resident and provide unbiased summary reports outlining the medical care that was given.

CONCLUSION

A few things seem certain. First, compliance audits are here to stay. They will come, and so will the incumbent stress of answering them. Second, appropriate medical decision-making and the proper documentation thereof is what will decide the outcome of your audit.

Assess your procedures now, whether or not you've been audited on this issue. Engage appropriate, dedicated and knowledgeable consultants and partners who can help you identify what you're doing right and where you need improvement. If you're appealing adverse decisions or answering allegations, the same holds true: work with an independent partner who can help you draw out the information that will successfully support your claims.

Take the information your consultants provide and use it to your overall advantage, facility-wide. Educate nurses, physicians, case managers, medical record personnel and billers on what they're doing well and where they need to improve. Then, inspire your teams to follow through on it—every resident, every time.

When you need objective assistance to conduct an internal audit, prepare records for release, or respond to adverse decisions, we're just a phone call away. We've helped a growing list of clients manage their regulatory challenges. We can help you, too. *A quick, no-obligation conversation will show you the valuable difference we can make for your organization. [Contact us today!](#)*

REFERENCES

1. “Overwhelming misuse, faulty documentation of antipsychotic drugs in nursing homes, OIG report states.” Long-term Living.
2. “Antipsychotic: OIG Releases Report on Nursing Facility Assessments and Care Plans for Residents Receiving Atypical Drugs.” LeadingAge.
3. “The Trouble with RACs.” Health Data Management.
4. “Medicare Atypical Antipsychotic Drug Claims for Elderly Nursing Home Residents.” Office of the Inspector General.